

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAM	ED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,487	11/27/200	Daisuk	Daisuke Koreeda	P21352	7113
7055	7590 09/	0 09/10/2003			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.				EXAMINER	
	1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			PYO, KEVIN K	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2878	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/993,487	KOREEDA, DAISUKE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin Pyo	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the e	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	bly to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•						
 a)							
Attachment(s)	m						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/993,487

Art Unit: 2878

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 8, line 13, "6a, 6b, 6c" should be changed to --6b, 6c, 6d--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ota et al.

Regarding claim 1, Ota et al shows in Fig.1 the following elements of applicant's claim:

a) a laser source (1) for emitting a laser beam; b) a scanning deflector (4, 7) that deflects the laser beam; c) an imaging optical system (6, 8b, 8c) that converges that scanning laser beam onto an object surface; and d) first and second mirrors (12a and 13a; 12b and 13b) that bend the optical path of the scanning laser beam, said first and second mirrors being movable to adjust the optical path length between said deflector and said object surface for changing a width of the scanning range on said object surface (col.4, lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 2, the limitation therein is disclosed in col.4, lines 7-13.

Regarding claim 3, Ota et al shows in Fig. 1 that the optical path between said deflector (7) and said first mirror (12a, 12b) intersects the optical path between said second mirror (13a, 13b) and said object surface (21b, 21c).

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 7, the limitation therein is shown in Fig.1

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al.

Regarding claim 4, the specific configuration of optic elements used for equalizing the optical path lengths would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of design requirements and the desired performance.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the first and second mirrors as a single-piece in view of the desire for downsizing the scanning device.

Regarding claim 8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to move the lens element (8b) of Ota et al with the movement of the second mirror (13a) in view of the effective performance of providing a scanned laser beam onto the desired location of the photoreceptor (21b).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,487 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hama et al and Ohno et al are cited for disclosing a multi-beam scanning device.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Pyo whose telephone number is 703-308-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (with flexible hour), First Mon. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kevin Pyo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

pkk 8/25/03